Evaluation of Dual-Energy CT for Differentiating Intracerebral Hemorrhage from Iodinated Contrast Material Staining
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Purpose:
To evaluate the efficacy of dual-energy computed tomography (CT) in the differentiation of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) from iodinated contrast material in patients who received contrast material via intraarterial or intravenous delivery.

Materials and Methods:
This retrospective study was approved by the local institutional review board, which waived the informed consent requirement for the analysis. Sixteen patients with acute stroke and two with head trauma who had undergone intraarterial or intravenous administration of iodinated contrast material were evaluated by using dual-energy CT to differentiate areas of hyperattenuation secondary to contrast material staining from those representing ICH. A dual-energy CT scanner was used for imaging at 80 and 140 kV, and a three-material decomposition algorithm was used to obtain virtual unenhanced images and iodine overlay images. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of dual-energy CT in the prospective differentiation of intraparenchymal contrast material from hemorrhage were obtained. Follow-up images were used as the standard of reference.

Results:
There were 28 intraparenchymal areas of hyperattenuation classified at dual-energy CT as iodinated contrast material staining (n = 20, 71%), hemorrhage (n = 5, 18%), or both (n = 3, 11%). Two of the three areas of hyperattenuation seen on both virtual unenhanced and iodine overlay images were related to mineralization. The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of dual-energy CT in the identification of hemorrhage were 100% (six of six areas), 91% (20 of 22 areas), and 93% (26 of 28 areas), respectively.

Conclusion:
Dual-energy CT can help differentiate ICH from iodinated contrast material staining with high sensitivity and specificity in patients who have recently received intraarterial or intravenous iodinated contrast material.
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To date, reperfusion therapy is the only proved treatment for acute ischemic stroke (1–3). However, the benefit of this treatment must be balanced against its risks, particularly posttreatment intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). In general, ICH is more common with intraarterial therapy than with intravenous thrombolysis (1,2,4,5) and can result in significant morbidity and mortality (2,6). Data suggest that clinically significant ICH tends to occur within the first 12–24 hours after treatment (7). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that there can be an ongoing evolution of hemorrhagic transformation early after treatment, with some patients demonstrating marked worsening (8,9). Therefore, the ability to reliably identify hemorrhagic transformation immediately after therapy would allow for appropriate management (eg, discontinuation or reversal of antithrombotic medication) that may prevent hemorrhage growth (10,11).

Unenhanced head computed tomography (CT) is commonly performed within the first 24 hours after intraarterial therapy to assess for early complications of therapy (12) and has been demonstrated to provide important prognostic information (13,14). Therefore, a common clinical problem is the differentiation of contrast material–related areas of hyperattenuation from acute ICH, as this may influence the decision to continue or initiate antiplatelet or anticoagulation therapy (10).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the ability of dual-energy CT to enable the differentiation of ICH from iodinated contrast material in patients who underwent contrast material administration by means of intraarterial or intravenous delivery.

### Materials and Methods

#### Patient Selection

One author (C.L.) is an employee of Siemens Medical Solutions. None of the other authors have a direct or indirect financial interest in the product under investigation. This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board, which waived the informed consent requirement for the analysis. At our institution, all patients who undergo conventional angiography for intraarterial embolectomy or thrombolysis are evaluated with unenhanced CT immediately after the procedure to assess for any treatment-related complications. Because the dual-energy CT scanner was not available for use in all patients, a subset of these patients (18 of 53; 33%) underwent imaging with the dual-energy protocol. The patients were prospectively screened and retrospectively analyzed on the basis of the availability of follow-up images to establish the status of each observed area of high attenuation.

Between October 2008 and March 2010, 18 patients (mean age, 67 years; range, 36–93 years) were referred for dual-energy CT. There were 10 men (mean age, 64 years; range, 36–93 years) and eight women (mean age, 70 years; range, 50–84 years). There was no significant difference in age between men and women (P = .55). Three patients were excluded from analysis because of the lack of follow-up images. In 13 of the 15 remaining patients, imaging was performed within 30 minutes of the end of the interventional procedure (conventional angiography for intraarterial reperfusion therapy [n = 12] or carotid stent placement [n = 1]). Two of the 15 patients underwent a dual-energy evaluation to further assess a new intraparenchymal area of hyperattenuation that was observed on a routine unenhanced CT scan. Dual-energy CT was performed to determine if this area of hyperattenuation represented hemorrhage or contrast material staining from a previous CT angiographic examination. Four of the 15 patients were excluded because the observed intracranial area of hyperattenuation was not intraparenchymal. In summary, only 11 of the 18 patients who underwent dual-energy CT demonstrated intracerebral hyperattenuation with adequate follow-up imaging.

#### Dual-Energy CT

All patients were scanned with a dual-source CT unit (Somatom Definition; Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany) operated in the dual-energy mode, with tube A at 80 kV and 499 mA, tube B at 140 kV and 118 mA (effective milliampere seconds of 714 and 168, respectively), and a collimation of 14 × 1.2 mm. The total effective dose of approximately 3 mSv was similar to that of conventional head CT.

### Implications for Patient Care

- Preliminary evidence suggests that dual-energy CT can help reliably differentiate intraparenchymal hemorrhage from iodinated contrast material in patients who have recently received intraarterial or intravenous iodinated contrast material.

- This differentiation is particularly important immediately after intraarterial stroke therapy, especially when anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy is being considered.

### Advance in Knowledge

- Dual-energy CT can help accurately differentiate between intraparenchymal hemorrhage and iodinated contrast material staining.
Image Analysis

Image analysis was performed in consensus by three experienced radiologists with 8 (R.G., C.M.P.) and 9 (A.J.Y.) years of experience. Among the intracerebral areas of hyperattenuation seen on the simulated 120-kV images, only intraparenchymal areas of hyperattenuation were prospectively analyzed and classified as hemorrhage, contrast material, or a combination of both on the basis of the virtual unenhanced and iodine overlay images (Table 1). Imaging analysis for each case was completed before the follow-up images became available.

Follow-up images from either unenhanced CT or magnetic resonance (MR) imaging were used to determine the ground truth about each area of hyperattenuation observed on the dual-energy CT scan. The finding of washout or near-complete clearing of the area of hyperattenuation in 24–48 hours at unenhanced CT was used as evidence that the area of hyperattenuation represented contrast material staining (9,14,17,18). If the area of hyperattenuation persisted for more than 48 hours and developed a characteristic rim of hypoattenuation (presumed to be edema or infarct), it was classified as hemorrhage. The 48-hour cutoff is what we routinely use in our clinical practice. When susceptibility-weighted
MR images were available, they were used as the reference standard.

**Statistical Analysis**

We analyzed the presence or absence of intraparenchymal hemorrhage on the dual-energy CT scans and the follow-up images (the standard of reference). Areas classified as hemorrhage alone or as a combination of hemorrhage and iodine at dual-energy CT were considered positive for hemorrhage. True-positive, false-positive, true-negative, and false-negative findings were derived for the detection of ICH with dual-energy CT according to the criteria given in Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were computed by using software (MedCalc, version 10.0; MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium).
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Results

Twenty-eight areas of intraparenchymal hyperattenuation were identified on the single-energy scans. Dual-energy CT scans were evaluated according to the criteria given in Table 1, and the areas of hyperattenuation were prospectively classified as iodinated contrast material staining alone \( (n = 20, 71\% ; \text{Fig 3}) \), hemorrhage alone \( (n = 5, 18\% ; \text{Fig 4}) \), or a combination of contrast material and hemorrhage \( (n = 3, 11\%) \).

All 20 areas of hyperattenuation classified as contrast material alone at dual-energy CT were demonstrated to have no hemorrhage at follow-up imaging (Table 3), as determined by means of complete washout, lack of susceptibility artifacts, or both. All five areas of hyperattenuation classified as hemorrhage alone with dual-energy CT were confirmed as such on subsequent images. Of the three areas classified as a combination of contrast material and hemorrhage, one was demonstrated to have a hemorrhagic component. The other two cases were found to be areas of mineralization, as determined with available previous images (Table 3). Except for those areas of mineralization, all other areas of hyperattenuation (26 of 28) were correctly classified prospectively with dual-energy CT for the presence versus absence of hemorrhage, for an accuracy of 93%. The sensitivity and specificity for the presence of hemorrhage were 100% (six of six areas; 95% confidence interval: 54.1%, 100%) and 91% (20 of 22 areas; 95% confidence interval: 70.8%, 98.6%), respectively.

Discussion

Hemorrhagic transformation is a major complication of reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke. Rates of symptomatic ICH obtained in the major trials of intravenous therapy (2,4,8) range from 6.3% to 10.9%. Parenchymal hematomas, defined as ICH with associated mass effect, have been shown to have a negative effect on both short- and long-term clinical outcomes (6,19). Currently, unenhanced CT is the standard of care for the detection of ICH (20) and is performed soon after intraarterial therapy to assess for procedural complications. Parenchymal contrast enhancement or contrast material extravasation is a common finding after intraarterial therapy, occurring in 30%–50% of cases (9,14,17,21). ICH and contrast material staining may appear identical on unenhanced CT scans. If the attenuation value of an area of hyperattenuation exceeds that expected for hemorrhage, it can be confidently assumed that there is a component of iodinated contrast material within it. In this study, only a minority of the intraparenchymal areas of hyperattenuation \( (n = 4, 16\%) \) demonstrated such
markedly elevated attenuation levels (eg, ≥120 HU). Even in these cases, one cannot assume that there is not associated hemorrhage; for example, dual-energy CT depicted superimposed hemorrhage in one of the four cases (25%). Definitive identification of extravascular contrast material requires serial imaging to demonstrate early washout (within 24–48 hours) in the hyperattenuating lesion (9,14,17,18). Hemorrhage is persistent over several days to weeks. This study provides a method for prospectively determining the status of each area of hyperattenuation on an unenhanced CT scan.

The tissue characterization capabilities of dual-energy CT have been previously studied (22–30). In this initial study, we have demonstrated that dual-energy CT can help accurately differentiate intraparenchymal hemorrhage from iodinated contrast material staining. The discriminatory power of dual-energy CT comes at no extra cost in terms of radiation dose or image quality as compared with single-energy unenhanced CT. Our results confirm the findings of a recent study (26), which demonstrated that iodine could be effectively subtracted from a dual-energy CT angiogram to yield a virtual unenhanced image that rivaled traditional unenhanced CT in its diagnostic utility for hemorrhage detection.

Given the limited distribution of dual-energy CT scanners at the present time and the increasing use of intraarterial therapy resulting in contrast material staining and/or extravasation, the dilemma of how to treat these patients clinically is quite real. Susceptibility-weighted MR imaging remains an option for identifying the presence or absence of hemorrhage after intraarterial therapy (10) even though it is somewhat limited by availability, the presence of pacemakers and other MR imaging–incompatible devices, and the overall difficulty of transporting an acutely sick patient to the MR imaging suite. Another option is to “simulate” a dual-energy scanner by using a single-source, single-energy scanner. If, in an axial step-and-shoot mode, 80- and 140-kV sections are obtained at each table position, one can postprocess the data set by using the dual-energy CT techniques described herein. Because these 80- and 140-kV images will be displaced in time by the time it takes for one gantry rotation, patient motion may introduce misregistration artifacts. However, on a modern gantry with a rotation time of approximately 0.27–0.33 second, this time difference may not pose a severe problem. Further scanner and appropriate postprocessing software development are necessary to clinically realize this option.

When interpreting these results, it is important to be aware of certain limitations. Dual-energy CT can only help differentiate up to three preselected materials because of fundamental physics of how attenuation is affected by the Compton and photoelectric effects. If a hyperattenuating pixel consists of four or more materials, without any a priori knowledge, dual-energy CT cannot help differentiate the constituent materials. For example, a focus of calcification (eg, skull and other areas of parafalcine and choroid plexus calcification) will appear as an area of hyperattenuation on both the virtual unenhanced and iodine overlay images; such a case cannot be differentiated from a combination of hemorrhage and contrast material on the basis of the results of dual-energy CT analysis. Previously obtained images are needed to make this discrimination. Certain artifacts at CT (eg, beam hardening or metallic artifacts) may also confound dual-energy analysis. For objects with a very high attenuation (eg, undiluted contrast material) that do not change substantially between 80- and 140-kV images, dual-energy analysis may fail.

All of the above situations could lead to false-negative or false-positive conclusions regarding the presence of hemorrhage. In the small cohort of patients studied herein, these potential limitations did not pose a substantial problem: Dual-energy CT enabled correct classification in 26 of 28 instances of intraparenchymal hyperattenuation, with calcification as the main confounder. Although this finding is reassuring, it may not be applicable to all possible scenarios; a larger trial is needed to determine the sensitivity, specificity, and false-positive and/or false-negative

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Appearance at Dual-Energy CT*</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single-Energy Images†</td>
<td>Virtual Unenhanced Images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage only (n = 5)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodinated contrast material</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>staining only (n = 20)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed hemorrhage and contrast</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>material or mineralization (n = 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* + = Hyperattenuation present, – = hyperattenuation absent.
† For single-energy images, the 80- and 140-kV images were combined to simulate an image equivalent to one obtained at 120 kV.
‡ Mineralization was found in two cases on the basis of previously obtained images.
rates of dual-energy CT in the assessment of ICH after intraarterial therapy.

In conclusion, dual-energy CT has high sensitivity and specificity in the differentiation of intracranial hemorrhage from iodinated contrast material staining and may be particularly helpful in patients who have recently undergone intraarterial stroke therapy.
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