

BREAK OUT GROUP: IMAGE MANAGEMENT

THE SCOPE...

- ✗ The scope of this guidance
 - + “in vivo imaging”
 - + “imaging used to assess therapy effects”
- ✗ More or less, this is radiology imaging and cardiology imaging. Could also include visible light (dermatology photos, endoscopy)

IMAGING SHOULD BE INCLUDED EARLY

- ✗ Help select the imaging metric
- ✗ Full QC cycle needs to be planned and followed
- ✗ Training should include
 - + software (e.g. how to measure the lesion)
 - + hardware (e.g. select acceptable monitor)
 - + set up environment (e.g. lighting, ergonomics)
- ✗ Encourage test & retest of readers/method

Same Right Answer > Same Wrong Answer >

DATA IDENTIFICATION

- ✗ Encourage de-identification as a separate step after clinical acquisition
- ✗ De-identification should be done at local site. But don't assume that it is done properly (re-de-identify)
- ✗ There should be a full audit trail from point where de-identification is done

IMAGES SHOULD BE...

- ✗ Digital (exceptions: mammo, some CR?)
 - + Digitizing is not a substitute
- ✗ DICOM
- ✗ Annotations in a standard form (AIM, DICOM SR)
- ✗ If using a new/novel imaging method, raw data should be saved until QC accepted
- ✗ Not lossy compressed unless lack of effect on measurement shown (burden shifted)

CLINICAL FINDINGS

- ✘ The performing site should have images interpreted by a licensed professional if the images have accepted clinical value
- ✘ If this is in place, there is not responsibility for researchers to address clinical findings in images

WHEN IMAGING IS USED FOR ENROLLMENT...

- ✗ Images should be saved and have mechanism in order to 'attach' to final subject identifier.
- ✗ May introduce a bias since site sends images for patients it feels does qualify (some of which might be rejected) but not for those they feel do not qualify.