### **Protocol Development and Quality Assurance Steps to Ensure Data Integrity**

### Susanna I Lee MD, PhD





- Relevant: None
- Other: Royalties Wolters Kluwer, Springer, RSNA

## **Learning Objective**

Anticipate and manage sources of data imprecision

 Collect databases structured for ongoing QA, interim and final analysis, and retrieval for future use

## **Retracted Scientific Publications**



https://www.science.org/content/article/what-massive-database-retractedpapers-reveals-about-science-publishing-s-death-penalty

# **Imaging Chain: Patient** $\rightarrow$ **Data**



# **Clinical Trials: Imaging is an "Assay"**

What is a good assay?

- Stable technology
- Available widely
- Standardized image acquisition
- ♦ Reproducible
- Ange of normal defined

**Balance "state of the art" with "generalizability"** 





Sargent DJ, Rubinstein L, Schwartz L et al. Eur J Cancer 2009; 45: 290.

# **Signal Requires Data Quality**



## Variability: Test – Retest

### Same patient, day, scanning protocol but separate imaging sessions



### **Conclusion Index test variability precludes detecting pre- vs. post-treatment change.**

Lankester KJ, Taylor NJ, Stirling JJ et al. Br J Cancer. 2005.93:979.

# **Imaging Chain: Patient** $\rightarrow$ **Data**



# **Imaging Manual**

Hardware and software
Scanner calibration
Patient preparation
Scanning protocol
Post-processing



Imaging manual with a series of step by step SOP's (standard operating procedures) for image acquisition

# **CTMW Template:** Imaging/Device Manual

#### 13 APPENDIX III: NOVEL IMAGING OR NOVEL DEVICE MANUAL

#### No text needed here

#### 13.1 IMAGING MANUAL

Imaging manual is intended to be used as a step-by-step description of the hardware, image acquisition, regder study and quality assurance for novel imaging. Content varies with the novel imaging to be performed but possible applicable content includes:

- Scanner specifications
- Scanner qualification procedures
- Novel tracer preparation, dose
- Image acquisition protocol for each scanner type
  - Radiation dose
  - Contrast dose
- Ongoing site quality control procedures (dose monitoring, calibration, etc.)
- Reader study description number of readers, images reviewed, blinding, etc.
- Reader forms

In general, standard-of-care clinical imaging for participant followup does not need to be described in the Imaging Manual.

#### <Insert text>

#### 13.2 DEVICE MANUAL

Medical Device is defined, in part, as any health care product that does not achieve its primary intended purpose by chemical action or by being metabolized.

Provide the following Device Information:

- Device Name
  - Intended Use
  - o Sponsor
  - o Name

### **Sources of Variability**

- Patient preparation (e.g. fasting, serum lab values, tracer/contrast administration)
- Sampling (e.g. matrix, detector size, slice thickness)
   Deconstruction (o.g. filtering)
- Reconstruction (e.g. filtering)



# **Ongoing QA of Incoming Data**

### Data forms

- Missing fields
- Misinterpretation

Images

- Scanner performance
- Acquisition protocol

Regularly "clean" your data
Consider building in interim analysis up front



ACRIN 6657 (I-SPY 1 trial), Nola Hylton, PI

# **Imaging Chain: Patient** $\rightarrow$ **Data**



### Image analysis: Turning image into data

Reader extracted features

Semi automatedFully automated

Feature 1 Feature 2 Feature 3



### **Reader Extracted Features**

•Location •Number •Density/intensity/tracer uptake •Shape (e.g. round, oval) •Size (2D vs. 3D) •Margin •Enhancement kinetics/pattern •Summary assessment "RADS"



## **Data Collection, Archiving & Sharing**

Will I need to collect this ?

- Primary and secondary analyses
- Planning the next phase trial
- Compliance IRB, HIPAA, FDA, sponsor, ICJME
- Is it essential that I collect this? cost, time, and incomplete datasets
- Reports and retrieval
  - Database structure, search engine, image saves, etc.

Policies on data sharing (ICJME requirement)

## **Cloud-Based Data Forms: REDCap**

| OK 032K 4221                     |  |  |  | A   | ABOU  | Л   | PARTNERS  | RESOURCES  | SOFTWARE  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Study ID 13 successfully ed      | lited  |  |  |   |   |   |   |  |   |
| eating forms Study               | / ID 13 Doe<br>(Arm 1: Drug  | a, Joh   | in*  |   |   |   |   |  |   |
| Data Collection Instrument       | Enroliment   | Visit<br>1   | Dose<br>1  | Visit<br>2  | Visit<br>3  | Final<br>visit  |   |  |   |
| Demographics (survey)            | ۲  |  |  |   |   |   |   |  |   |
| Contact Info (survey)            | 0  |  |  |   |   | _   |   |  |   |
| Baseline Data                    | 0  |  |  |   |   |   | ~   |  |   |
| Visit Lab Data                   |  | ۲  |  | ۲   | ۲   |   | 2   |  |   |
| Patient Morale Questionnaire     |  | ۲  |  |   | ۲   | 0   |   |  |   |
| Visit Blood Workup               |  |  |  | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   |   |  |   |
| Visit Observed Behavior          | 1  | ۲  |  | ۲   | ۲   | ۲   |   |  |   |
| Completion Data                  |  |  |  |   |   | ۲   |   |  |   |
| Completion Project Questionnaire |  |  |  |   |   | ۲   |   |  |   |
| <u>⊜</u> ■×                      | all forms adros  | s IIIEv  |  |   |   |   |   |  |   |
|                                  | Study ID 13 successfully ed<br>eating forms Study<br>Data Collection Instrument<br>Demographics (survey)<br>Contact Info (survey)<br>Baseline Data<br>Visit Lab Data<br>Patient Morale Questionnaire<br>Visit Blood Workup<br>Visit Observed Behavior<br>Completion Data<br>Completion Project Questionnaire | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Eating forms     Study ID 13 Doc     (Arm 2: Drug      Data Collection Instrument     Demographics (surver)     Ontact Info (surver)     Contact Info (surver)     Baseline Data     O     Visit Lab Data     Patient Morale Questionnaire     Visit Diserved Behavior     Completion Data     Completion Project Questionnaire      Completion Project Questionnaire     Completion Project Questionnaire     Completion Project Questionnaire     Completion Project Questionnaire     Completion Project Questionnaire     Completion Project Questionnaire     Completion Project Questionnaire | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Eating forms     Study ID 13 Doe, Joh     (Arm : Drug A)      Data Collection Instrument     Enrollment     1      Demographics (survey)     ③     Gontact Info (survey)     ③     Gontact Info (survey)     ③     Saseline Data     ④     Visit Lab Data     ④     Visit Lab Data     ④     Visit Blood Workup     ③     Visit Blood Workup     ③     Visit Observed Behavior     Completion Data     Completion Project Questionnaire      ✓     Contact Information     Completion Project Questionnaire | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Cating forms     Study ID 13 Doe, John*     Carm     Drug A      Data Collection Instrument     Enrollment     Yisit     Demographics (survey)     O     Contact Info (survey)     O     S     Seline Data     S | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Extended ID 13 Doe, John*     Correction Instrument     Enroliment     I     I     Data Collection Instrument     Enroliment     I     I     Doe     Visit     Doe     Contact Info (surver)     O     Seline Data     S | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Study ID 13 Doe, John*<br>(Arm : Drug A)      Data Collection Instrument Enrollment 1 1 2 3      Demographics (survey)     Ontact Info (survey)     Onta | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Study ID 13 Doe, John*     (Arm : Drug A)      Data Collection Instrument     Enrollment     1     1     2     3     Visit     Demographics (surver)     O     I | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Study ID 13 successfully edited      Study ID 13 Doe, John*     Correction Instrument     Inroliment     Init     I | Study ID 13 successfully edited      Study ID 13 successfully edited      Study ID 13 Doe, John*     Comparison Study ID 14 Doe     Comparison Stud |

REDCap is a secure web application for building and managing online surveys and databases. While REDCap can be used to collect virtually any type of data (including 21 CFR Part 11, FISMA, and HIPAA-compliant environments), it is specifically geared to support online or offline data capture for research studies and operations. The REDCap Consortium, a vast support network of collaborators, is composed of thousands of active institutional partners in over one hundred countries who utilize and support REDCap in various ways.

### https://projectredcap.org/

# **REDCap Quality Module**

#### **REDCap Test Project**

#### 🛃 Data Quality

This module will allow you execute data quality rules upon your project data to check for discrepancies in your data. Listed below are some pre-defined data rules that you may utilize and run. You may also create your own rules or edit, delete, or reorder the rules you have already created. To find discrepancies for a given rule, simply click the Execute button next to it, or click the Execute All Rules button to fire all the rules at once. It will provide you with a total number of discrepancies found for each rule and will allow you to view the details of those discrepancies by clicking the View link next to each. <u>Read more detailed instructions.</u>

| Data Quality Rules     Processing Complete!     Execute All Rules     Clear |  |                                       |                        |        |        |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Rule #  | Rule Name  | Rule Logic (Show discrepancy only if) | Total<br>Discrepancies | Site 2 | Site 1 |  |  |  |
| а   | Missing values*  | -                                     | 10,000+ view           | 1191   | 0      |  |  |  |
| b   | Missing values* (required fields only)                                     |                                       | 563 <u>view</u>        | 55     | 0      |  |  |  |
| С   | Field validation errors (incorrect data type)                              | -                                     | 26 <u>view</u>         | 6      | 0      |  |  |  |
| d   | Field validation errors (out of range)                                     |                                       | 18 <u>view</u>         | 2      | 0      |  |  |  |
| e   | Outliers for numerical fields<br>(numbers, integers, sliders, calc fields) | -                                     | 25 <u>view</u>         | 1      | 0      |  |  |  |
| f   | Hidden fields that contain values**  | ·                                     | 28 <u>view</u>         | 4      | 0      |  |  |  |
| g   | Multiple choice fields with invalid values                                 | •                                     | 17 <u>view</u>         | 6      | 0      |  |  |  |

# **Archiving Image Databases**

#### The Cancer Genome Atlas - Lung Adenocarcinoma PUBLIC



From The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA): the Cancer Genome Atlas Lung Adenocarcinoma data collection is part of a larger effort to buil d a research community focused on connecting cancer phenotypes to genotypes by providing clinical images matched to subjects from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Clinical, genetic, and pathological data resides in the Genomic Data Commons (GDC) Data Portal while the radiological data is stored on The Cancer Imaging Archive.





# **Reader Study**

- Multiple independent readers
- Rules for image interpretation
  - Information available to reader
  - Image selection, windowing, order, etc.
  - Choosing index lesions
  - Selecting region of interest (ROI)
  - Definition of positive vs. negative test
- Reader training
- Digital data forms and time stamps for signoff

A manual defining reader rules and training cases are part of any prospective study design.



### **ROC operating points of 108 radiologists reading same mammograms**



Beam, Layde, Sullivan Arch Intern Med 1996; 156:209-213

### **Reader Variability: Size**



• Increases and decreases of <10% can be a result of inherent variability.

Oxnard GR et al. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:3114-9.

### Variability Introduced by ROI Selection



- All ROI protocols show excellent inter-observer agreement (ICC 0.94)
- Different ROI protocols yield different ADC values

Priola AM. . . Veltri A. Eur Radiol. 2017;27:1386-1394.

# Site vs Central Reader ACRIN 6671: FDG-PET/CT Endometrial Cancer



Gee MS, Atri M. . . Lee SI. Radiology. Nov 29, 2017:Epub.

## **AI-Assisted Readers**

ORIGINAL RESEARCH · MUSCULOSKELETAL IMAGING

### Improving Radiographic Fracture Recognition Performance and Efficiency Using Artificial Intelligence

Ali Guermazi, MD, PhD • Chadi Tannoury, MD • Andrew J. Kompel, MD • Akira M. Murakami, MD • Alexis Ducarouge, MSc • André Gillibert, MD, MSc • Xinning Li, MD • Antoine Tournier, MSc • Youmna Lahoud, MD • Mohamed Jarraya, MD • Elise Lacave, MSc • Hamza Rahimi, MD • Aloïs Pourchot, MSc • Robert L. Parisien, MD • Alexander C. Merritt, MD • Douglas Comeau, DO • Nor-Eddine Regnard, MD, MSc • Daichi Hayashi, MD, PhD

### Radiology

ORIGINAL RESEARCH · THORACIC IMAGING

Content-based Image Retrieval by Using Deep Learning for Interstitial Lung Disease Diagnosis with Chest CT

Jooae Choe, MD, PhD • Hye Jeon Hwang, MD, PhD • Joon Beom Seo, MD, PhD • Sang Min Lee, MD, PhD • Jihye Yun, PhD • Min-Ju Kim, MSc • Jewon Jeong, MD • Youngsoo Lee, MD • Kiok Jin, MD • Rohee Park, MD • Jihoon Kim, MD • Howook Jeon, MD • Namkug Kim, PhD • Jaeyoun Yi, PhD • Donghoon Yu, MSc • Byeongsoo Kim, BSc

ORIGINAL RESEARCH · PEDIATRIC IMAGING

Radiology

### Radiology

#### Artificial Intelligence Algorithm Improves Radiologist Performance in Skeletal Age Assessment: A Prospective Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial

David K. Eng, MS • Nishith B. Khandwala, MS • Jin Long, PhD • Nancy R. Fefferman, MD • Shailee V. Lala, MD • Naomi A. Strubel, MD • Sarah S. Milla, MD • Ross W. Filice, MD • Susan E. Sharp, MD • Alexander J. Towbin, MD • Michael L. Francavilla, MD • Summer L. Kaplan, MD • Kirsten Ecklund, MD • Sanjay P. Prabhu, MD • Brian J. Dillon, MD • Brian M. Everist, MD • Christopher G. Anton, MD • Mark E. Bittman, MD • Rebecca Dennis, DO • David B. Larson, MD, MBA • Jayne M. Seekins, DO • Cicero T. Silva, MD • Arash R. Zandieh, MD • Curtis P. Langlotz, MD, PhD, • Matthew P. Lungren, MD, MPH • Safivan S. Halabi, MD

# **Fully Automated AI Readers**

### Radiology

ORIGINAL RESEARCH · GASTROINTESTINAL IMAGING

Population-Scale CT-based Body Composition Analysis of a Large Outpatient Population Using Deep Learning to Derive Age-, Sex-, and Race-specific Reference Curves

Kirti Magudia, MD, PhD • Christopher P. Bridge, DPhil • Camden P. Bay, PhD • Ana Babic, PhD • Florian J. Fintelmann, MD • Fabian M. Troschel, MD • Nityanand Miskin, MD • William C. Wrobel, MD • Lauren K. Brais, MPH • Katherine P. Andriole, MD, PhD • Brian M. Wolpin, MD, MPH • Michael H. Rosenthal, MD, PhD

### Radiology

ORIGINAL RESEARCH • BREAST IMAGING

# Fully Automated Volumetric Breast Density Estimation from Digital Breast Tomosynthesis

Aimilia Gastounioti, PhD • Lauren Pantalone, MPH • Christopher G. Scott, MS • Eric A. Cohen, MS • Fang F. Wu, BS • Stacey J. Winham, PhD • Matthew R. Jensen, BS • Andrew D. A. Maidment, PhD • Celine M. Vachon, PhD • Emily F. Conant, MD • Despina Kontos, PhD

ORIGINAL RESEARCH • GASTROINTESTINAL IMAGING

### Radiology

**Opportunistic Osteoporosis Screening at Routine Abdominal and Thoracic CT:** Normative L1 Trabecular Attenuation Values in More than 20000 Adults

Samuel Jang, MD • Peter M. Graffy, BA, MPH • Timothy J. Ziemlewicz, MD • Scott J. Lee, MD • Ronald M. Summers, MD, PhD • Perry J. Pickhardt, MD

### Inevitably we arrive back at AI...



### **AI Database Landmines ---- to date**



## **Privacy: MRI & Facial Recognition**



Schwarz CG. . . Jack CR . N Engl J Med. 2019;381:1684-1686.

### Privacy: CXR & Race



Gichoya JW, Banerjee I, Bhimireddy AR, Burns JL, Celi LA....Trivedi H, Wang R, Zaiman Z, Zhang H. Lancet Digit Health. 2022;4(6):e406-e414.

# **Diversity and Bias: Cohorts Used to Train Deep Learning Algorithms**



Table. US Patient Cohorts Used for Training Clinical Machine Learning Algorithms, by State<sup>a</sup>

| States         | No. of studies |
|----------------|----------------|
| California     | 22             |
| Massachusetts  | 15             |
| New York       | 14             |
| Pennsylvania   | 5              |
| Maryland       | 4              |
| Colorado       | 2              |
| Connecticut    | 2              |
| New Hampshire  | 2              |
| North Carolina | 2              |
| Indiana        | 1              |
| Michigan       | 1              |
| Minnesota      | 1              |
| Ohio           | 1              |
| Texas          | 1              |
| Vermont        | 1              |
| Wisconsin      | 1              |

Kaushal A, Altman R, Langlotz C. JAMA 2020;324:1212-1213.

# **Diversity and Bias: Racism Speech Recognition**



Koenecke A, Nam A, Lake E, Nudell J, Quartey M, Mengesha Z, Toups C, Rickford JR, Jurafsky D, Goel S. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(14):7684-7689.

# Steps toward data integrity:

- Image acquisition procedures
  - Balance "state of the art" with "generalizability"
  - Imaging manual
- Image analysis procedures
  - Read rules, training and testing, reader form
- Build in procedures for ongoing QA
- Database elements, architecture, and sharing policy --- with ethics in mind



